

**FW: The Director of Public Prosecutions v Bruce Lehrmann -
Investigative Review Documents [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive,
ACCESS=Legal-Privilege]**

From: "Cameron, Joanne" <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>
To: "Crozier, Peter" <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>, "[Personal information] Alison" <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>
Cc: "Moller, Scott" <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>
Date: Wed, 14 Sep 2022 09:56:01 +1000

OFFICIAL:Sensitive
 Legal privilege
 DCPO, Alison,

See below.

Priv LPP


Regards
 Jo

COMMANDER JOANNE CAMERON

INVESTIGATIONS
 ACT POLICING
 Tel: [Personal information]
www.afp.gov.au<<http://www.afp.gov.au>>

[Australian Federal Police]<<http://www.afp.gov.au/>>

[cid:image002.gif@01D8C820.316EC000]

From: Moller, Scott <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>
 Sent: Wednesday, 14 September 2022 9:06 AM
 To: Cameron, Joanne <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>
 Subject: FW: The Director of Public Prosecutions v Bruce Lehrmann - Investigative Review Documents

Ma'am,
 As anticipated see below communication received from defence counsel acting for Bruce Lehrmann. As discussed my view is the documents were for internal decision making.

Kind regards
 Scott.

DETECTIVE SUPERINTENDENT SCOTT MOLLER
 UNSOLVED HOMICIDE
 ACT POLICING
 Tel: [Personal information]
www.afp.gov.au<<http://www.afp.gov.au/>>

[Australian Federal Police]<<http://www.afp.gov.au/>>

[cid:image002.gif@01D8C820.316EC000]

From: Rachel Fisher <[Personal information]@kslawyers.com.au>>
 Sent: Tuesday, 13 September 2022 2:22 PM
 To: McKenzie, Stephanie <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au> <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>>; Moller, Scott <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>>
 Cc: Helen <[Personal information]@afp.gov.au>>
 Subject: RE: The Director of Public Prosecutions v Bruce Lehrmann - Investigative Review Documents

RE: The Director of Public Prosecutions v Bruce Lehrmann

Dear Superintendent Moller & Ms McKenzie,

As you know, I act for Mr Bruce Lehrmann.

I write seeking clarification as to the status of the following document that appears in the 'Disclosure Schedule' in a category identified as 'relevant unprotected material that is NOT subject to claim of privilege or immunity or statutory publication restriction.' (emphasis added).

"Investigative review documents (sic)

This document outlines versions of events as supplied by Ms Higgins in the course of her engagements with Police since 2019 against the available evidence and subsequent discrepancies. Available upon request and in consultation with DPP."

I have included Superintendent Moller in this email as he is noted as having signed the Disclosure Schedule. I also understand that as the informant in this prosecution, Superintendent Moller may also have some knowledge about the creation of this particular document and why it was prepared.

For abundant clarity, my enquiry is whether this document was:

- a) prepared by police for the dominant purpose of obtaining legal advice; and
- b) if so, whether the AFP seeks to maintain any privilege over the document.

Any privilege which could exist in this document would of course be the AFP's to claim or waive. It is for the AFP therefore, and not for the DPP, to answer these questions. From its description, the document would appear to be one that would be of significant relevance to the Defence and be disclosable in the normal course. I have not included the DPP in this email as the DPP indicated in open court last Friday that any claim of privilege over this document is the AFP's privilege and is not an issue for them. Her Honour also noted that any claim of privilege would need to be verified. I can confirm that if privilege is claimed, I will make an application to have that claim tested and resolved by the Court.

I anticipate there may have been some confusion on this issue and the document was not prepared for the dominant purpose of obtaining legal advice. If this document was not prepared for the dominant purpose of obtaining legal advice or if the AFP does not wish to maintain any privilege over the document, I request a copy of the document be provided as soon as practicable. Provision of an electronic copy is acceptable.

If you could also please acknowledge receipt of this email forthwith.

Kind regards,

Rachel Fisher
Lawyer

[<https://kslawyers.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/logo-118x80.png>]

1 University Ave
Canberra ACT 2601

Personal information
T
After hours: Personal information

[www.kslawyers.com.au](http://kslawyers.com.au)<<http://kslawyers.com.au/>>

WARNING REGARDING FRAUD AND SCAMS

A number of law firms have reported fraudulent payment details being provided to clients. Kamy Saeedi Law's trust account details do not change. These details will have been provided to you in your costs agreement at the commencement of your matter. We recommend you confirm our trust account details by contacting our office on 02 6230 6600 prior to processing any transfer. You should scan this message and any attached files for viruses. Kamy Saeedi Law does not accept any liability for any loss caused either directly or indirectly by a virus arising from the use of this message or any attached file.

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, distribution or reproduction of this email is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately and please delete the original message.